



## NOTE

To arrange an accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act to participate in this public meeting, we ask that you call (408) 277-4576 (VOICE) or (408) 998-5299 (TTY) at least two business days before the meeting.

## NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

Good evening, my name is **Michael Youmans**, and I am the Chair of the Historic Landmarks Commission. On behalf of the Commission, I would like to welcome you to tonight's meeting. I will now call to order the September 7, 2005 meeting of the Historic Landmarks Commission. Please remember to turn off your cell phones and pagers.

If you want to address the Commission, **fill out a speaker card (located at the technician's station), and give the completed card to the technician. Please include the agenda item number for reference.**

### **The procedure for public hearings is as follows:**

- After the staff report, applicants may make a five-minute presentation.
- Anyone wishing to speak in favor of the proposal should prepare to come forward. Each speaker will have two minutes.
- After the proponents speak, anyone wishing to speak in opposition should prepare to come forward. Each speaker will have two minutes.
- Commissioners may ask questions of the speakers. These questions will not reduce the speaker's time allowance.
- The Commission will then close the public hearing. The Historic Landmarks Commission will take action on the item.

### **The procedure for referrals is as follows:**

- Anyone wishing to speak on a referral will be limited to one minute.
- Commissioners may ask questions of the speakers. These questions will not reduce the speaker's time allowance.
- The Historic Landmarks Commission will comment on the referral item.

**If a Commissioner would like a topic to be addressed under one of the Good and Welfare items, please contact Planning staff in advance of the Commission meeting.**

An agenda and a copy of all staff reports have been placed on the table for your convenience.

## AGENDA

### ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### 6:30 PM SESSION

1. ROLL CALL

ALL PRESENT

2. DEFERRALS

Any item scheduled for hearing this evening for which deferral is being requested will be taken out of order to be heard first on the matter of deferral. **A list of staff-recommended deferrals is available on the table.** If you want to change any of the deferral dates recommended or speak to the question of deferring these or any other items, you should say so at this time.

The matter of deferrals is now closed.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

#### NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

The consent calendar items are considered to be routine and will be adopted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a request is made by a member of the Historic Landmarks Commission, staff or the public to have an item removed from the consent calendar and considered separately. If anyone in the audience wishes to speak on one of these items, please make your request at this time.

a. **APPROVAL OF THE AUGUST 3, 2005 HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION SYNOPSIS.**

*APPROVED*

- b. **HP05-001**. Historic Preservation Permit to allow exterior alterations to the Bank of Italy (City Landmark No. HL84-27) on a 0.4 gross acre site, located at/on the southeast corner of South First Street and East Santa Clara Street (12 South First Street) (Stephen and Judy Lin, Owners). Council District 3. SNI: None. CEQA: Exempt.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED. (7-0-0)**

*PULLED OFF OF CONSENT*

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE ASKED WHETHER THE REMOVAL OF THE WINDOW MOUNTED AIR CONDITIONING UNITS WAS INCLUDED IN THE SCOPE OF WORK. THE HISTORIC CONSULTANT, BONNIE BAMBURG, REPLIED THAT THE REMOVAL WAS NOT INCLUDED BECAUSE UPGRADES TO THE HVAC SYSTEM WOULD INCLUDE WINDOW REPLACEMENT, WHICH WAS NOT IN THE SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS NOTED THAT WHILE IT SEEMED APPROPRIATE THAT THE MAJOR FOCUS OF THE IMPROVEMENTS WOULD BE WATERPROOFING, HE WAS CONCERNED THAT THE SEALANT COULD ALTER THE COLOR OR DAMAGE THE HISTORIC MATERIALS. BAMBURG REPLIED THAT THE PRIORITY WAS THE REPAIR OF LEAKS RATHER THAN THE APPLICATION OF SEALANTS.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS ASKED WHY THE ALUMINUM WINDOWS HAD BEEN INSTALLED. BAMBURG REPLIED THAT THEY WERE INSTALLED IN A TEST HVAC ZONE. YOUMANS NOTED FURTHER THAT HE SHARED COMMISSIONER COLOMBE'S CONCERN ABOUT THE AC UNITS. HE NOTED THAT THE VINTAGE TOWER CITY LANDMARK REHABILITATION PROJECT INCLUDED THE REMOVAL OF WINDOW AC UNITS AND RE-GLAZING AND REPAIR OF THE HISTORIC WOOD WINDOW SASHES AND FRAMES.*

*COMMISSIONER LAVELLE ASKED WHAT THE WHITE TUBE ON TOP OF THE BUILDING WAS, AND WHETHER IT WAS NECESSARY. BAMBURG REPLIED THAT IT WAS A LIGHT FIXTURE. STAFF RECOMMENDED REMOVAL OF THE LIGHT IF IT IS NO LONGER NECESSARY IN ORDER TO RESTORE THE BUILDING TOP.*

#### *PUBLIC COMMENT*

*JUDI HENDERSON, OF PAC SJ, SAID SHE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THE TIMETABLES, AND THAT PAC WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE GUARANTEES THAT THE WORK WILL BE COMPLETED UNDER THE PRESENT OWNER, RATHER THAN PASSING OFF INDIVIDUAL ITEMS TO FUTURE OWNERS.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS ASKED WHETHER THE COMPLETION OF THE WORK WOULD BE TIED TO THE TENTATIVE MAP APPROVAL. STAFF REPLIED THAT THE TIMELINE TIED THE APPROVAL TO THE TENTATIVE MAP, AND THAT ONCE THE TENTATIVE MAP WAS APPROVED THE WORK WOULD BE PART OF THE CC&R'S TIED TO THE NEW OWNERS.*

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE NOTED THAT WILL MEAN THE RESPONSIBILITY WILL LIE WITH THE INDIVIDUAL OWNERS. THE FINAL RESPONSIBILITY WILL LIE WITH CODE ENFORCEMENT, WHICH DOESN'T HAVE THE TOOLS TO ENFORCE COMPLIANCE. COLOMBE WAS CONCERNED THAT THE IMPROVEMENTS WERE NOT PROPOSED TO BE MORE STRONGLY TIED TO THE TENTATIVE MAP PROCESS, AND WONDERED WHETHER THERE WAS A POSSIBILITY OF PHASING THE APPROVAL PROCESS IN ORDER TO HAVE A BETTER MEANS OF GUARANTEEING COMPLIANCE.*

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE ASKED FOR AN UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS OF THE TENTATIVE MAP AND CONDITIONS. MR. HORWEDEL AGREED.*

*COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM NOTED THAT CC&R'S ARE IN THE PRIVATE DOMAIN. HE ASKED WHETHER A PERFORMANCE BOND COULD BE REQUIRED TO GUARANTEE THE INVESTMENT.*

*COMMISSIONER ALKIRE RECOMMENDED PROJECT COSTS BE ADJUSTED FOR INFLATION AS COSTS WOULD INCREASE OVER A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD FOR EXAMPLE.*

*BAMBURG REPLIED THAT ALL OF THESE ISSUES HAD BEEN DISCUSSED WITH THE OWNER, AND THAT THE CONSENSUS HAD BEEN TO PROCEED AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. IN ADDITION, ANYONE WISHING TO INVEST IN THIS KIND OF REAL ESTATE WOULD DEMAND THAT THE WORK BE DONE.*

*JOE HORWEDEL, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PLANNING, SAID THESE IMPROVEMENTS HAVE BEEN HIS NUMBER ONE CONCERN SINCE THE REQUEST FOR THE CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION WAS MADE. STAFF SHARES THE CONCERNS OF THE COMMISSION AND OF PAC SJ, AND WILL USE EVERY TOOL TO PROTECT THE LONG TERM HEALTH OF THE BUILDING.*

- c. [MA05-001](#). Historical Property Contract (California Mills Act) agreement between City and property owners for preservation of the John C. Morrill House (City Landmark No. HL05-151) on a 0.29 gross acre site, located at/on the northwest corner of Jackson Street and North 19th Street (897 JACKSON ST) (Thacker Eric Et Al, owner). Council District 3. SNI: None. CEQA: Exempt.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED (7-0-0)**

*PULLED OFF CONSENT*

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE ASKED WHETHER THE RESIDENTIAL USE WAS ALLOWED UNDER THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT ZONING. STAFF CLARIFIED THAT IT WAS.*

**The Consent Calendar is now closed.**

**4. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

- a. [HL05-152](#). Historic Landmark Nomination for the Curtis House on a 0.20 gross acre lot, located at/on the southeast corner of East San Antonio Street and South Seventeenth Street (254 S 17TH ST) (Sciara Gloria M., owner). Council District 3. SNI: University. CEQA: Exempt.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED (7-0-0)**

*MS. SCIARA STATED THAT SHE WAS PROUD TO BE THE STEWARD OF THIS PROPERTY. CURTIS WAS AN INFLUENTIAL ARCHITECT AND THE HOUSE IS LARGELY ORIGINAL.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS REPLIED THAT IT IS AN EXQUISITE HOME, AND THAT IT IS A DELIGHT TO SEE THIS HOME GROWN ARCHITECT RECOGNIZED.*

- b. [HL05-153](#). Historic Landmark Nomination for the Dennis House on a 0.14 gross acre lot, located at/on the 237 Autumn Street (237 N AUTUMN ST) (Paden Earl, owner). Council District 3. SNI: None. CEQA: Exempt.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATIO ADOPTED (7-0-0)**

*CHAIR YOUMANS ASKED FRANKLIN MAGGI, THE HISTORIC CONSULTANT, WHETHER THE WINDOWS HAD BEEN REPLACED PRIOR TO THE HISTORIC EVALUATION FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION. MR. MAGGI NOTED THAT THE WINDOWS HAD BEEN REPLACED AS PART OF THE SAN JOSE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT (ACT) PROGRAM PRIOR TO THE EVALUATION, AND THAT WHILE THE NEW WINDOWS ARE NOT EXACT MATCHES OF THE ORIGINAL WINDOWS, THE HOUSE HAS PRESERVED THE GREATER PART OF ITS INTEGRITY OF DESIGN, WORKMANSHIP, AND MATERIALS.*

*COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM ASKED WHETHER THE HOME REQUIRED SEISMIC REINFORCEMENT. MR. MAGGI NOTED THAT ONE OF MR. PADEN'S GOALS IS TO APPLY FOR A HISTORICAL PROPERTY CONTRACT IN ORDER TO APPLY PROPERTY TAX SAVINGS TOWARDS THE REPAIR OF THE HOME IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE STANDARDS.*

*COMMISSIONER LEONG ASKED WHETHER THE BARN IS INCLUDED IN THE DESIGNATION. MR. MAGGI REPLIED THAT IT IS BECAUSE IT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN BUILT IN 1884, AND AS SUCH IS INCLUDED IN THE PROPERTY'S 1870-1909 PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS STATED THAT HE SUPPORTED THE DESIGNATION. HE NOTED FURTHER THAT WHILE THE ACT PROGRAM HAS MADE EXCELLENT PRESENTATIONS TO THE HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION REGARDING WINDOW REPLACEMENT WORK, IT IS IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE TO BUILDING OWNERS THAT THE MAJORITY OF ENERGY IS LOST THROUGH ROOF AND WALL CONSTRUCTION RATHER THAN WINDOWS.*

**5. REFERRALS FROM CITY COUNCIL, BOARDS, COMMISSIONS OR OTHER AGENCIES**

- a. [CP05-027](#). Conditional Use Permit to allow (1) demolition of an existing residence that qualifies for the HRI that was previously converted to a museum at 535 N. Fifth Street, (2) construction of a 9,803 square foot museum building, (3) construction of a

surface parking lot, (4) on-site relocation and conversion of an existing residence that qualifies for the National Register at 529 N. Fifth Street to a privately operated museum on a 0.44 gross acre site, and (5) an off-site, alternating use, and alternative parking arrangement at an adjacent church (Owner/Applicant: Japanese American Resource Center Museum, Ken and Marth Iwakagi) Council District: 3. SNI: None. CEQA: Mitigated Negative Declaration.

**Staff Recommendation:**

Historic Landmarks Commission make recommendations to the Planning Commission regarding the proposed Conditional Use Permit.

*THE APPLICANT, REPRESENTATIVES OF THE JAPANESE AMERICAN MUSEUM, INTRODUCED THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND EXPLAINED THE MUSEUM'S MISSION STATEMENT.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS ASKED HOW THE MINETAS, WHOSE PROPERTY IS ADJACENT TO THE PROPOSED BUILDING, FELT ABOUT THE PROJECT. DID THE PLANS REFERENCE THE HEIGHT OF THE HISTORIC HOUSE (529 N. 5<sup>th</sup> ST.) OR OF THE MINETA HOUSE ? THE APPLICANT DISPLAYED A PHOTO PRESENTATION OF 529 N. 5<sup>TH</sup> ST. AND THE NEW BUILDING.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS NOTED THAT THE CHANGES MADE TO THE 535 N. 5<sup>TH</sup> ST. HOUSE, PROPOSED FOR DEMOLITION, WERE MADE WITHOUT PERMITS.*

*COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM NOTED THAT THE PROJECT PROPOSED DROPPING THE FLOOR OF THE 529 N. 5<sup>TH</sup> ST. HOUSE BY ABOUT 2 FEET. THE ARCHITECT RESPONDED THAT THE CHANGE IN FINISHED FLOOR HEIGHT WAS PROPOSED TO MEET ACCESS REQUIREMENTS. COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM STATED THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE AN ALTERNATE METHOD FOR MEETING THOSE REQUIREMENTS. IN ADDITION, THE SIDE SETBACK FROM THE MAIN BUILDING FOUNDATION SHOULD BE RECONSIDERED TO ALLOW FOR PRESERVATION OF THE HISTORIC BAY WINDOW PROJECTION WHILE CONFORMING TO BUILDING CODE.*

*PLANNING STAFF ADDRESSED THE DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS FOR SETBACKS AND NOTED THAT THE OWNERS WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THE CALIFORNIA HISTORICAL BUILDING CODE (CHBC).*

*COMMISSIONER LEONG ASKED WHETHER THE PROPOSED RECONSTRUCTION OF INTERNMENT BARRACKS WOULD FOLLOW ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERING (ACE) DRAWINGS. THE ARCHITECT NOTED THAT THEY WOULD BE BUILT TO ACE SPECIFICATIONS WITH NEW MATERIALS, POSSIBLE INCORPORATING ORIGINAL SAND OR ROCK FROM THE BARRACK SITE INTO THE PROJECT.*

*COMMISSIONER ALKIRE COMPLIMENTED THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT, NOTING THAT THE SITE IS TIGHT, AND SUGGESTING THAT IT WOULD BE*

*GREAT TO ADD LANDSCAPING TO BREAK UP THE HARDCAPE. PROJECT MANAGER, LEE BUTLER, NOTED THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE PARKING WILL BE PROVIDED OFF-SITE SUCH THAT PARKING ON SITE COULD BE DECREASED TO ALLOW FOR MORE LANDSCAPING.*

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE REITERATED THAT THE SITE COULD USE MORE LANDSCAPING, INCLUDING TREES.*

*VICE CHAIR JANKE NOTED THAT HE WAS PLEASED TO SEE THE DESIGN, PARTICULARLY THE ELEMENT OF THE MUSEUM THAT DEALS WITH INTERNMENT.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS STATED HIS CONCERNS REGARDING PLACING SUCH AN IMPOSING STRUCTURE INTO THE POTENTIAL SAN JOSE JAPANTOWN HISTORIC DISTRICT AND/OR TRADITIONAL CULTURAL PROPERTY. IT IS A LARGE STRUCTURE FOR A RESIDENTIAL AREA, AND SOMETHING SMALLER WITH MORE LANDSCAPING WOULD BE MORE COMPATIBLE AS A NEIGHBOR TO THE MINETA HOUSE.*

*COMMISISONER ALKIRE FELT THE BUILDING WAS NOT IMPOSING, AND FIT INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD.*

*PUBLIC COMMENT*

*JUDI HENDERSON, PAC SJ, THANKED THE APPLICANT FOR PROPOSING A PROJECT THAT PRESERVES THE HISTORIC HOUSE LOCATED AT 520 N. 5<sup>TH</sup> ST.*

*STUART MINETA STATED THAT HE HAS NOT HAD TIME TO SPEAK WITH THE MUSEUM REGARDING HIS CONCERNS. AS NEIGHBORS, THE MINETA FAMILY HAS NOT HAD TIME TO DISCUSS THE PROJECT, AND HAS NOT COME OUT FOR OR AGAINST THE PROJECT.*

**6. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS**

Public comments to the Historic Landmarks Commission on non-agendized items. Each member of the public may address the Commission for up to two minutes. The Commission cannot take any formal action without the item being properly noticed and placed on an agenda. In response to public comment, the Historic Landmarks Commission is limited to the following options:

1. Responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public; or
2. Requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting; or
3. Directing staff to place the item on a future agenda.

a. Public Comment

*A REQUEST WAS MADE TO PLACE DISCUSSION REGARDING THE VACANT CITY OF SAN JOSE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER POSITION ON THE NEXT AGENDA.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS REQUESTED STAFF TO REPORT BACK ON THE VTA WATER TANK PROJECT PROGRESS*

b. Pellier Park

*DAVE MITCHELL, OF PRNS, EXPLAINED THAT THE JOINT PROJECT WITH THE CITY AND BARRY SWENSON BUILDERS HAD SLIPPED THROUGH THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROCESS. THE DEVELOPER THOUGHT THAT THE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT ALLOWED THEM TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE WORK.*

*BUILT IN 1978, THE CITY LANDMARK PARK WAS RE-DESIGNED IN 1988. THIS PROJECT WAS SEEN AS A CHANCE TO REINVIGORATE THE PARK.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS SAID THAT THE DEMOLITION OF A CITY LANDMARK SPEAKS TO SOME KIND OF MITIGATION OR FINE. THE COMMISSION IS DISMAYED THAT BARRY SWENSON BUILDER DECIDED TO TAKE IT FORWARD.*

*JOE HORWEDEL NOTED THAT THE CITY HAS BEEN WORKING ON A 30-FOOT WIDE PASEO SINCE LAST OCTOBER AND HAS LOOKED AT SEVERAL SOLUTIONS. EVERYTHING ABOVE GROUND WAS BUILT IN THE 1970'S AND 1980'S, AND WHILE THE GROUNDS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH PELLIER IT HAS BEEN A QUESTION AS TO WHY THE PARK IS HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT. UNFORTUNATELY, THERE HAS BEEN A MAJOR PROCEDURAL PROBLEM, AND THIS HAS BEEN A BAD EXAMPLE OF HOW TO FIX A FACILITY.*

*CHAIR YOUMANS AGREED THAT THIS ACTION BY THE DEVELOPER LEADS TO A SLIPPERY SLOPE.*

*DAVE MITCHELL STATED THAT THE DIRECTOR OF PRNS IS VERY UNHAPPY WITH WHAT HAPPENED, AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT IS DISMAYED AND WILL FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENED. WHEN THE PARK IS REBUILT, THE HISTORY OF THE INTERPRETIVE SITE WILL BE RESPECTED.*

*PUBLIC COMMENT*

*JUDI HENDERSON NOTED THAT A HUE AND CRY WENT OUT WHEN THE PRESERVATION COMMUNITY HEARD ABOUT THE DEMOLITION. THE PROCESS SHOULD HAVE BEEN RESPECTED, THE HLC SHOULD HAVE REVIEWED THE PROJECT, AND THIS IS NOT OVER YET.*

*BONNIE BAMBURG NOTED THAT SHE WAS HLC CHAIR WHEN THE SITE WAS DESIGNATED AS A CITY LANDMARK, AND BEFORE THAT A STATE HISTORIC LANDMARK. THE LAND WAS DONATED AS PART OF A TRI-PARK WITH THE FALLON HOUSE, THE PERALTA ADOBE AND PELLIER PARK. IT WAS NOT DESIGNED TO BE LUSH, BUT TO HAVE THE TYPE OF VEGETATION, WALL, AND EARTH THAT CITY GARDEN NURSERY WOULD HAVE HAD. SOMETHING LIKE DECOMPOSED GRANITE WOULD GIVE A SENSE OF WHAT WAS THERE. IT WAS DESIGNATED AS PART OF A BICENTENNIAL SPIRIT OF THE TIME.*

- c. Distribution of Historic Landmarks Commission's proposed revisions to the City Council Policy on Preservation

*COMMISSIONER COLOMBE INTRODUCED THE HLC PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE POLICY, WHICH WERE DRAFTED WITH THE INTENT OF STRENGTHENING THE CITY'S COLLECTIVE CONSCIENCE REGARDING PRESERVATION. REVISIONS FOCUSED ON CLARIFYING PRESERVATION AS A FIRST CHOICE IN DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS, AND INCLUDING SINGLE FAMILY HOMES IN THE LANGUAGE WHICH HAD PREVIOUSLY EXCLUDED THEM.*

*THE COMMISSION VOTED (7-0-0) IN FAVOR OF PLACING THE DISCUSSION OF PRESERVATION PROGRAM WORKPLAN PRIORITIES ON THE NEXT HLC AGENDA.*

## **7. GOOD AND WELFARE**

- a. **Report from the Redevelopment Agency**
- b. **Report from the Secretary**
  - Status of Circulation of Environmental Review Documents
- c. **Report from the Subcommittees**
  - Report of the Design Review Committee  
Commissioner Leong, DRC Chair  
August 31, 2005 Report  
**DEFERRED TO 10-5-05**

*CHAIR YOUMANS NOTED THAT THE PROJECTS INCLUDED TWO SUBSTANTIAL PROJECTS THAT DWARFED HISTORIC STRUCTURES.*

*DEPUTY DIRECTOR HORWEDEL NOTED THAT DISCUSSIONS IN "COUNTER-TO-COUNCIL," THE FOLLOW-UP TO "GETTING FAMILIES BACK TO WORK," INCLUDED RE-EVALUATING THE ROLE OF THE DRC. HE NOTED THAT DRC CHAIR LEONG HAD REMINDED APPLICANTS AT THE LAST MEETING THAT THE DRC DISCUSSION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A DECISION BY THE FULL HLC.*

*COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM NOTED WHERE DESIGN ISSUES HAD TO DO WITH MASSING AND IMPACTS ON HISTORIC STRUCTURES PERTAINING TO SCALE, BASIC MODELS WOULD DO A LOT OF GOOD.*

- History San Jose Collections Committee  
Chair Youmans, Liaison
- Coyote Valley Specific Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan Advisory Committee
- Japantown Survey Committee  
Commissioner Alkire, Liaison
- San Jose Industrial/High Tech Committee  
Commissioner Lavelle, Liaison

**8. ADJOURNMENT**

## 2005 HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION MEETING SCHEDULE

DATE	TIME	TYPE OF MEETING	LOCATION
April 6, 2005	6:00 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room 300
April 20, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room 400
April 22, 2005	12:30- 4:30 p.m.	Retreat	MLK Jr. Library, Cultural Heritage Room, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor
May 4, 2005	6:00 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room 205
May 18, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room 400
June 1, 2005	6:00 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room 205
June 29, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room 400
July 20, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Cancelled
August 3, 2005	6:00 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room 205
August 17, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room 400

**Meetings September 7<sup>th</sup> and subsequent located in Council Wing on 200 East Santa Clara St.**

September 7, 2005	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Council Chambers
September 21, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room T-550
October 5, 2005	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room W118/119
October 19, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room T-550
November 2, 2005	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room W118/119
November 16, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room T-550
December 7, 2005	6:30 p.m.	Regular Meeting	Room W118/119
December 21, 2005	12:00 p.m.	Design Review Meeting	Room T-550

HISTORIC LANDMARKS AGENDA ON THE WEB:

<http://www.sanjoseca.gov/planning/hearings/index.htm>