



**Task Force Meeting No. 20 Synopsis  
March 23, 2009**

**Task Force Members Present\*:**

Co-Chair Sam Liccardo, Co-Chair Shirley Lewis, Vice-Chair David Pandori, Jackie Adams, Teresa Alvarado, Shiloh Ballard, Michele Beasley, Frank Chavez, Gary Chronert, Mary Creasman, Pat Dando, Harvey Darnell, Dave Fadness, Enrique Fernandez, Leslee Hamilton, Sam Ho, Nancy Ianni, Lisa Jensen, Frank Jesse, Matt Kamkar, Charles Lauer, Karl Lee, Linda LeZotte, Pierluigi Oliverio, Jennifer Rodriguez, Dick Santos, Patricia Sausedo, Erik Schoennauer, Judy Stabile, Neil Struthers, Alofa Talivaa, Jim Zito.

**Task Force Members Absent:**

Judy Chirco, Yolanda Cruz, Pastor Oscar Dace, Michael Van Every.

**City Staff and Other Public Agency Staff Present\***

Ru Weerakoon (Mayor's Office), Roma Dawson (District 3 Council Office), Anthony Drummond (District 2, Council Office), John Ristow (VTA), Jennifer Malutta (District 8, Council Office), Peter Hamilton (District 9 Council Office), Hans Larsen (DOT), Junko Vroman (ESD), Wayne Chen (Housing), Matthew Krupp (ESD), Ron Eddow (Housing), Joseph Horwedel (PBCE), Laurel Prevetti (PBCE), Andrew Crabtree (PBCE), Michael Brilliot (PBCE), Lee Butler (PBCE), John Baty (PBCE), Hadasa Lev (PBCE), Pat Colombe (Historic Landmarks Commission).

**Public Present\*:**

Stan Ketchum, Joe Head (Summerhill Homes), Pat Pizzo, Brian Schmidt, Pat Reouden (League of Women Voters), Joan Doss (League of Women Voters), Virginia Holtz (League of Women Voters), Marie Arnaed (League of Women Voters), Mack Johansen (Blackford NAC), Armor Santiago (Health Trust), Kermi Halts, Trixie Johnson (League of Women Voters), George Chien (Sierra Club), Judy Ingols (Sierra Club), Christine Choi, Derrick Williamson, Brian Darrow (Working Partnerships), John Schallau (Altera Real Estate), Corinne Winter (SV Bicycle Coal.), Larry Ames, Deborah Avant, Doug Reid, Lyn Judd.

\*As verified by registering attendance on Sign-In Sheets.

**1. Welcome**

Meeting convened at 6:35 p.m.

**2. Review and approval of March 9, 2009 Synopsis**

March 9, 2009 synopsis approved.

**3. Introduction on the Use of Wikipanning on Envision San Jose 2040**

Kim Welsh (OED) gave a presentation introducing the City's Wikipanning effort that will support and provide additional community input into the Envision San Jose 2040 process.

#### **4. Brief Presentation on Employment Projections Report**

Lee Butler (PBCE) gave a presentation outlining the significant findings from the Job Growth Projections and Employment Land Demand Report. The report provides the projected demand for different types of employment lands related to the various scenarios under consideration.

#### **5. Task Force Discussion on March 9 Group Exercise Input and Discussion on Scenarios for Analysis**

John Baty (PBCE) and Michael Brilliot (PBCE) presented ten potential land use scenarios that contained various levels of job and dwelling unit growth. Staff noted that fiscal, economic, and environmental analysis would be conducted on up to four scenarios, plus the no project alternative (San Jose 2020 General Plan). Task Force members discussed the scenarios, and the importance of the Jobs / Employed Resident balance continued to be a key topic of discussion. The Task Force members considered both the implications for the City's future fiscal health as well as the environmental impacts associated with scenarios that attempt to attain a balanced community with an equal number of jobs and employed resident and those that attempt to establish San José as a regional employment center with a greater share of jobs per employed resident. Some Task Force members wanted to limit consideration to scenarios that would achieve a Jobs / Employed Resident ratio of greater than one, but a majority voted to keep all scenarios in consideration.

#### **6. Public Comment**

Trixie Johnson spoke, stating that long-term outreach is needed, with "change is coming as the message," so we do not face opposition in the long term. Fiscal responsibility must be a focus of the ultimate plan. Transportation and job/housing densities need to accomplish fiscal responsibility and to create a livable, sustainable city.

Brian Schmidt, with Committee for Green Foothills, spoke in promoting a balance between jobs and housing. He stated that some high jobs/employed resident ratios being considered would result in larger environmental impacts. He expressed a desire for no development in North Coyote Valley to be explored as part of the environmental analysis.

#### **7. Scenario Selection**

The Task Force members were each given four votes and encouraged to vote for a scenario that represented the maximum amount of growth potential, a scenario that represented the minimum amount of growth potential, and two intermediate scenarios that described factors or concepts that would be interesting or helpful to analyze. At the conclusion of the voting, four scenarios ("More Jobs", "Housing Trendline & More Jobs", "More Jobs & Less Housing" and "Less than Housing Trendline & More Jobs" in order of voting preference) were identified as the four study scenarios preferred by a majority of the Task Force members. For a complete tally of how Task Force members voted, see the attached table.

## **8. Announcements**

Lisa Jensen noted the time and location for the high-speed rail public scoping meeting.

Andrew Crabtree announced that at the April 21<sup>st</sup> City Council meeting, the Council will be discussing the various land use scenarios.

## **9. Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 pm.

	<b>Scenario</b>	<b>Growth Capacity</b>	<b>Jobs / Employed Resident</b>	<b>Votes</b>
<b>A</b>	“Housing Trendline & Fewer Jobs”+	201–210K New Jobs 90–96K New Dwelling Units	1.0	0, 0, 0, <b>0</b>
<b>B</b>	“More Jobs & Less Housing Alternative 1”+	271.5K New Jobs 137K New Dwelling Units	1.0	1, 1, 1, <b>3</b>
<b>C</b>	“Housing Trendline & More Jobs”*	320K New Jobs 90K New Dwelling Units	1.2	0, 8, 10, <b>18</b>
<b>D</b>	“More Jobs & Less Housing Alternative 2”+	334K New Jobs 105K New Dwelling Units	1.17	0, 7, 2, <b>9</b>
<b>E</b>	“More Jobs & Less Housing”*	334K New Jobs 137K New Dwelling Units	1.1	3, 11, 4, <b>18</b>
<b>F</b>	“Less than Housing Trendline & More Jobs”+	432K New Jobs 60K New Dwelling Units	1.5	2, 5, 7, <b>14</b>
<b>G</b>	“More Jobs”*	334K New Jobs 179K New Dwelling Units	1.0	22, 3, 4, <b>29</b>
<b>H</b>	“More Jobs & Less Housing Alternative 3”+	405K New Jobs 137K New Dwelling Units	1.2	8, 2, 0, <b>10</b>
<b>I</b>	“Jobs Surplus”*	479K New Jobs 179K New Dwelling Units	1.2	5, 0, 1, <b>6</b>
<b>J</b>	“Housing Trendline & Large Jobs Surplus”+	499.5–798K New Jobs 90K New Dwelling nits	1.5 - 2	10, 0, 0, <b>10</b>
<b>K</b>	ABAG Projections 2009	312,980 New Jobs 160,315 New DU	1.0	2, 1, 0, <b>3</b>
<b>L</b>	CCSCE Adjusted Projections	281,200 New Jobs 179K New DU	0.9	1, 0, 1, <b>2</b>
<b>M</b>	Zito	180K New Jobs 60 K New DU	1.04	1, 1, 4, <b>6</b>